

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/660,381	09/12/2000	Ernst F. Schroder	RCA 90,316	6814
, 75	90 07/16/2003			
Joseph S Tripoli			EXAMINER	
Patent Operations Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc			BROWN, VERNAL U	
CN 5312	media Licensing inc			
Princeton, NJ	08543-0028		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2635	V
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	- 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/660,381	SCHRODER, ERNST F.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vernal U Brown	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
<u> </u>		NOTELL				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	` ·	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	'					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5.★ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	MICHAEL HO SUPERVISORY PATE TECHNOLOGY CO	ENT EXAMINER ENTER 2600				
	M	hehad Mah				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Launcey et al. teaches a plurality of microphones connected to the device (col. 10 lines 3-16) which constitutes an array of microphones as broadly claimed in claim 1. The specifics of the microphone array which the applicant argues are not in the claims. The reference of Lea is used to show the usuage of the IEEE 1394 bus in home appliances.